TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of: Pendleton Waugh, Charles Austin, Jay Bishop Prefered Communication Systems, Inc and	EB Docket No. 07-147
Preferrred Acquisitions, Inc	
	-
DATE OF HEARING:February 20, 20	008 VOLUME:2
PLACE OF HEARING:WASHINGTO	N, D.C PAGES:41-55
NEAL R GROS	S&CO INC

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

IN THE MATTER OF:

PENDLETON C. WAUGH, CHARLES : EB Docket

AUSTIN, and JAY R. BISHOP

: No. 07-147

: File No.

PREFERRED COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC.

: EB 06-IH-2112 : NAL/Acct. No.

: 2007 32080025

Licensee of Various

Site-by-Site Licenses in the :

Specialized Mobile Radio
Service

: FRN No. : 0003769049

PREFERRED ACQUISITIONS, INC. : FRN No.

: FRN NO. : 0003786183

Licensee of Various Economic Area Licenses in the 800 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio Service

le Radio :

Wednesday February 20, 2008

Hearing Room TW A-363 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for pre-hearing conference, pursuant to notice, at 10 a.m.:

BEFORE:

HONORABLE ARTHUR I. STEINBERG Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Pendleton C. Waugh:

WILLIAM D. SILVA, ESQ. Law Offices of William D. Silva 5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20015-2003 (202) 362-1711

On Behalf of Charles M. Bishop, Preferred Communication Systems, Inc., and Preferred Acquisitions, Inc.:

DAVID J. KAUFMAN, ESQ. Rini Coran, P.C. 1615 L Street, N.W. Suite 1325 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 296-2007 dkaufman@rinicoran.com

ROBERT KELLER, ESQ.
P.O. Box 33428 - Farragut Station
Washington, D.C. 20033-0428
(202) 223-2100
rjk@telcomlaw.com

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES: (CONT.)

On Behalf of the Agency:

GARY OSHINSKY, ESQ.
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-7167
gary.oshinsky@fcc.gov

ANJALI K. SINGH, ESQ.
Attorney Advisor
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Room 4-A331
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-2529
anjali.singh@fcc.gov

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:00 a.m.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: This is a pre-
4	hearing conference in EB Docket Number 07-147.
5	The conference was requested by, I
6	guess Counsel for the Bureau, and so I'll let
7	you tell us why we're here.
8	MS. SINGH: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE STEINBERG: Although I
10	suspect I know.
11	MS. SINGH: Yes, Your Honor.
12	Just to give the Court a heads up.
13	Yesterday, late yesterday in fact, the
14	Enforcement Bureau filed several motions to
15	compel against Jay Bishop, Charles Austin,
16	Preferred Acquisitions, Inc. and Preferred
17	Communications Systems, Inc. Three of those
18	parties are represented by counsel here today,
19	Mr. Kaufman and Mr. Keller and we've given
20	them copies of the pleadings today without
21	their attachments because the pleadings were
22	too voluminous to send by e-mail. We have

sent those by regular mail.

1.4

Mr. Waugh, the other party in this proceeding is represented by Mr. Silva here today and we've given him copies of the pleadings as well.

As we discussed in a telephone conference with all the parties present here today a couple of weeks ago, the Enforcement Bureau has been involved in several discovery disputes with the other parties with the exception of Mr. Waugh and at this time although we had hoped to get all of our discovery completed and rulings on any disputes that would have arisen by this point, we have been unable to reach that compromise or to get those rulings and get the motions filed before Your Honor until this point.

Accordingly, at the telephone conference we requested if Your Honor would be amenable and if the parties would be amendable to an approximately three-month extension of all the current dates that remain on the

1	procedural schedule which would include the
2	discovery deadline that just lapsed on
3	February 15th. And we hope that we can get a
4	mutually agreeable procedural schedule revised
5	and ironed out for Your Honor today.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Anybody else
7	want to comment.
8	MR. KELLER: I'll just say for the
9	record we represent Robert Keller and David
10	Kaufman and we represent Preferred PCSI,
11	PAI and Charles Austin and I'll just say we
12	have no objection to the proposed scheduled
13	change.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
15	MR. OSHINSKY: We might note, Your
16	Honor, that counsel of course is not having a
17	chance to file any answers
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, I know.
19	Yes.
20	Let me just say there are several
21	things pending in front of me which I thank
22	you for sending to me because my files are

1 just a mess and stuff -- I get hard copies of 2 stuff, I get e-mail copies of stuff and they 3 never matter to get put together which is 4 ultimately my fault and my responsibility. 5 I ruled on one of them last week 6 and I assume that you've gotten that. 7 Then there's the Enforcement 8 Bureau's unopposed motion for extension of 9 filing deadline and I presume that that's 10 granted by virtue of the fact that the motions 11 to compel have been filed today. So, an order 12 summarizing the conference I'll formally grant 13 that. Enforcement 14 Then there's the Bureau's request for leave to file a motion 15 16 for a ruling and the attachment is the motion 17 for the ruling. And then Preferred Communication System's opposition and that 18 admissions 19 concerns objections to two 20 requests. What I plan to do on that is I 21

kind of -- well, let me just see because

22

1	without reading the motions to compel do
2	the rulings in your November motion impact the
3	motions to compel?
4	MS. SINGH: Yes. They do, Your
5	Honor. As a matter of fact, some of the same
6	issues that we flushed out for you in the
7	November 9 motion have resurfaced causing us
8	to file these motions to compel because we've
9	been unable to informally resolve the similar
10	disputes. And those are as a result.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Because
12	my thinking was to rule on all of them at once
13	so that I can be consistent.
14	MR. OSHINSKY: I think that's
15	appropriate.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: However, if I
17	rule on the motion for a ruling, then it could
18	if I go with Preferred's position, then it
19	could obviate the need for them to answer all
20	that stuff.
21	MR. KAUFMAN: I was going to say I
22	think you've got this in front of you that we

1	filed that what the exchange of our things
2	awhile
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: Right.
4	MR. KAUFMAN: back. And I
5	think it would save everybody time if you just
6	went ahead and just for the record. We did
7	not oppose the motion for the leave.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Right.
9	MR. KAUFMAN: Only the substance.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Right. Correct.
11	MR. KAUFMAN: And we we would
12	we would we think it would be helpful if
13	you just went ahead and did rule on that today
14	so that people could focus on and go forward.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, I'm not
16	ruling on it today. I would rule on it in
17	writing.
18	MR. KAUFMAN: Okay.
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me I'll
20	consider that, consider all my options without
21	committing myself.
22	Okay. Let's get to the proposed

1	schedule.
2	Anything more on any of that?
3	MR. KAUFMAN: I have a question,
4	Your Honor.
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
6	MR. KAUFMAN: You granted their
7	unopposed motion for extension of time.
8	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. I'm ruling
9	
10	MR. KAUFMAN: To the extent that,
11	I mean, it's going to be subsumed in our new
12	schedule and then they will have new
13	deadlines.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. I'm
15	thinking what's the response time to
16	motions to compel about seven days or
17	something? Or is it 14 days, I forget?
18	MS. SINGH: Your Honor, because
19	you mailed them this time they get three extra
20	days so it would be 10 plus 3, 13 days.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: Ten plus three?
22	MR. KAUFMAN: Well, that's going

1	to be a weekend too.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well
3	MR. KAUFMAN: It's going to be a
4	couple of weeks.
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: my feeling is
6	
7	MR. KELLER: Whenever it is,
8	there's a response date coming up in the next
9	couple of weeks.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And can
11	you anticipate needing more time because we
12	can set a deadline for responding to it
13	without having to rule extra extensions.
14	MR. KELLER: I think
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: Maybe you ought
16	to read it first.
17	MR. KELLER: Yes. I was going to
18	say I think what we'll do is we'll just assume
19	it's whatever falls out in the rules and if we
20	need an extension of time I'm sure there won't
21	be any need for a ruling from you because

22

we'll probably get consent.

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Okay.
2	That was the last thing I had.
3	Okay. Let's go off the record and
4	talk about the dates.
5	(Whereupon, off the record from
6	10:07 a.m. to 10:09 a.m.)
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: On the record.
8	Everybody's agreed to the following procedural
9	dates. Revised procedural dates.
10	May 19 th , 2008, last day for filing
11	or is that a slash?
12	MS. SINGH: Yes.
L3	JUDGE STEINBERG: For filing the
14	service of discovery requests,
15	interrogatories, request for production,
16	notices of deposition.
17	July 14th, 2008, completion of all
18	discovery.
19	August 5, 2008, Enforcement
20	Bureau's exchange of their direct case,
21	exhibits, etcetera.
22	August 19th and T'll put this in

	our orders. That's why I'm not reading it
2	all. August 19th, 2008, exchange by the
3	people we've called the caption parties of
4	their direct and response of case exhibits,
5	etcetera.
6	August 19, 2008, notification by
7	the caption parties of the Enforcement
8	Bureau's witnesses desired for cross
9	examination.
10	October 6, 2008, admission session
11	and notification by the Bureau of the caption
12	party's witnesses desired for cross
13	examination.
14	And October 14th, 2008,
15	commencement of the hearing at 9:00 a.m. in
16	the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C.
17	And we all recognize during the
18	off the record discussion that there's
19	flexibility built into the schedule due to the
20	gap between the August 19th and October 6th
21	dates.

And as I said, I'll issue an order

22

1	confirming these dates and ruling granting
2	your I can either grant it or just, you
3	know, say in light of what we would like and
4	what was done today, it's moved. So, would
5	you rather it be granted?
6	MR. OSHINSKY: I guess so.
7	JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you get like
8	extra bonuses for getting stuff granted?
9	MS. SINGH: We certainly hope so,
10	Your Honor.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
12	MR. OSHINSKY: We defer to the
13	court, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
15	MR. OSHINSKY: Whatever you feel.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
17	Anything else we have to talk
18	about today?
19	MR. OSHINSKY: Can we go off the
20	record for just one minute?
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure.

1	10:11 a.m. to 10:15 a.m.)
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: If there's
3	nothing further to do today then we'll stand
4	in recess. I should note I should have
5	noted earlier that the appearances of counsel
6	are the same as they were last time except Mr.
7	Zuckerman is not here and Jay Bishop is not
8	represented.
9	MR. KAUFMAN: And I changed firms.
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: You know, I
11	don't care. Nobody cares about that, but I'm
12	teasing. Let the record reflect teasing.
13	Okay. Then we'll go off the
14	record now and thank you very much.
15	MR. KAUFMAN: Thank you.
16	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
17	matter was concluded at 10:15 a.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	

22

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Pendelton Waugh et all, Preferred Communication Systems, & Preferred Acquisitions
Name of Hearing
EB DOCKET NO. 07-147
Docket No. (if applicable)
445 12th STREET, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing
February 20, 2008
Date of Hearing
We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 1 through 9, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by Kevin Connolly
February 20, 2008 Kevin Connolly
Date Legible Name and Signature of Reporter Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.
February 20, 2008 Judy Hadley
Date Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
February 20, 2008 Tracy Cain
Date Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company:Neal Gross Co